

## USE OF TANTALUM CUP IN TOTAL HIP ARTHROPLASTY-A NARRATIVE REVIEW

Arnab Sain, Urvashi Ghosh, Michele Halasa, Minaal Ahmed Malik, Nauman Manzoor, Jack Song Chia, Hamdoon Asim, Nadine Khayyat, Kanishka Wattage, Ahmed Elkilany, Anushka Jindal, Justin Wilson, Fahad Hussain, Hannah Burton, Wilam Ivanga Alfred, Vivek Deshmuk, Zain Sohail, Nirav Shah.

*Worthing Hospital, University Hospitals Sussex NHS Foundation Trust, United Kingdom.*

### Abstract.

Tantalum, known for its superior mechanical properties and biocompatibility, has revolutionized the field of acetabular reconstruction, especially in revision total hip arthroplasty (rTHA). This review explores the clinical indications, biomechanical and biological properties, benefits, risks, and outcomes associated with tantalum acetabular components, particularly in managing complex cases of acetabular deficiencies. Extensive research has highlighted tantalum's ability to promote osseointegration, provide high survivorship rates, and maintain mechanical stability even in the most challenging cases, such as pelvic discontinuity and severe bone loss. However, challenges remain, including high costs and the need for long-term studies in primary total hip arthroplasty (THA). This article aims to provide a comprehensive overview of tantalum's current role in THA, discussing the potential for broader applications in primary surgeries and the implications of its high cost on healthcare systems.

**Key words.** Tantalum, Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty (rTHA), Acetabular reconstruction.

### Introduction.

Acetabular deficiencies present a significant challenge in total hip replacement (THR), particularly in revision surgeries where previous implants may have failed due to bone loss or loosening. The choice of implant material is critical in ensuring long-term success, and tantalum has become increasingly popular due to its unique mechanical and biological properties. Traditionally, materials like titanium and cobalt-chromium have been used, but their limitations, especially in complex cases, have driven interest in tantalum.

Tantalum, a transition metal with a high melting point and excellent corrosion resistance, has found its niche in orthopaedic applications due to its highly porous structure, which closely mimics trabecular bone. Its use in acetabular reconstruction, particularly in cases involving extensive bone loss, pelvic discontinuity, and revision surgeries, has garnered significant attention. The purpose of this review is to analyze the role of tantalum in managing acetabular deficiencies, examining its clinical indications, benefits, risks, and long-term outcomes [1].

**Indications for Tantalum in Acetabular Reconstruction.** Tantalum is particularly indicated in revision total hip arthroplasty (rTHA), where patients present with severe acetabular deficiencies, often due to previous failed implants. Specific indications for its use include:

**Aseptic Loosening:** Aseptic loosening is one of the most common causes of implant failure, and tantalum's mechanical stability makes it a preferred choice in revision surgeries addressing this issue. In cases of failed acetabular components,

tantalum offers a reliable solution by providing structural support and promoting bone ingrowth [2].

**Pelvic Discontinuity:** Tantalum has demonstrated superior outcomes in cases of pelvic discontinuity, where the pelvic bone is fractured or discontinuous. The material's high porosity allows for rapid bone ingrowth, and its mechanical properties provide the necessary support to bridge the defect [3].

**Osteonecrosis and Rheumatoid Arthritis:** In conditions such as osteonecrosis and rheumatoid arthritis, where bone quality is compromised, tantalum offers enhanced fixation and stability. These systemic conditions often lead to poor bone stock, making tantalum's ability to promote osseointegration critical for long-term implant success [4].

**Revision of Failed Implants:** Tantalum is also indicated in cases where previous implants have failed due to wear, infection, or mechanical loosening. Its adaptability, particularly in combination with augments and cages, makes it an ideal choice for complex revisions [5].

### Biomechanical and Biological Properties of Tantalum.

Tantalum's biomechanical properties make it uniquely suited for orthopaedic applications. Its porous structure, with approximately 80% porosity, closely resembles trabecular bone, which allows for enhanced osseointegration and mechanical interlocking. This high level of porosity promotes rapid and sustained bone ingrowth, ensuring long-term stability of the implant, even in cases of poor bone quality. Studies have shown that tantalum's ability to integrate with host bone is superior to that of titanium and cobalt-chromium, leading to better long-term outcomes in both primary and revision surgeries [6].

Tantalum's elastic modulus, which measures the stiffness of a material, is closer to that of natural bone compared to other materials commonly used in THA. This similarity helps reduce stress shielding, a phenomenon where the stiffness of the implant causes the surrounding bone to weaken. In contrast, materials like titanium are stiffer, leading to more pronounced bone loss around the implant over time. By minimizing stress shielding, tantalum helps preserve bone stock, which is particularly important in revision surgeries [6,7].

In addition to its mechanical properties, tantalum is highly biocompatible and resistant to corrosion. These characteristics reduce the risk of implant rejection and inflammation, which can complicate surgeries using other materials. Its ability to resist fatigue and wear over time further supports its use in weight-bearing joints like the hip, where mechanical stresses are high [8].

### Benefits of Tantalum Acetabular Components.

**Enhanced Osseointegration and Stability:** One of the most significant benefits of tantalum is its ability to promote

osseointegration. The material's high porosity allows for rapid bone ingrowth, which leads to early and sustained stability of the implant. This is especially critical in revision surgeries, where bone quality is often compromised. Clinical studies have shown that tantalum outperforms other materials in terms of implant fixation, leading to better long-term outcome [9].

**High Survivorship Rates:** Long-term studies have demonstrated that tantalum acetabular components achieve high survivorship rates, with reports of more than 90% survival over 10-15 years. This makes tantalum particularly useful in revision surgeries, where the risk of implant failure is higher due to poor bone stock or previous complications [10].

**Resistance to Infection:** Infection is a significant concern in revision THA, where the risk of infection is higher than in primary procedures. Some studies suggest that tantalum's porous structure may reduce the risk of bacterial colonization, offering an additional layer of protection against infection. This property, combined with its biocompatibility, makes tantalum an attractive option in revision surgeries, where infection is a leading cause of implant failure [11,12].

**Versatility in Complex Cases:** Tantalum's adaptability, particularly when combined with augments and cages, makes it highly versatile in treating complex acetabular defects. Studies have shown that tantalum components, when used with augments, restore acetabular integrity and improve outcomes in cases of severe bone loss and pelvic discontinuity [13].

#### **Disadvantages Associated with Tantalum in THA.**

**High Cost:** One of the primary limitations of tantalum is its cost, which is significantly higher than that of other materials like titanium. While tantalum offers superior outcomes, its higher cost may limit its widespread adoption, particularly in healthcare systems with budget constraints. The economic implications of using tantalum in revision THA need to be carefully considered, especially in light of its high upfront cost compared to other materials [14].

**Re-revision Risks:** Despite tantalum's excellent performance in revision THA, the risk of re-revision due to mechanical failure or infection remains. Although less common than with other materials, cases of re-revision have been reported, particularly in instances of persistent infection or component loosening. Studies suggest that while tantalum reduces the risk of failure, it does not eliminate it entirely [12].

**Limited Long-term Data in Primary THA:** While tantalum has been extensively studied in revision THA, long-term data on its use in primary THA are still limited. Although tantalum has shown promise in younger patients with dysplasia or poor bone quality, more research is needed to establish its efficacy and safety in primary procedures over the long term [15].

#### **Discussion.**

The adoption of tantalum in managing acetabular deficiencies represents a significant advancement in revision total hip arthroplasty (rTHA). Numerous studies have underscored its unique biomechanical properties, such as high porosity and lower elastic modulus, which offer several advantages over traditional materials like titanium and cobalt-chromium alloys. Tantalum's closer match to the mechanical properties of human

bone allows for better load distribution, reducing stress shielding and preserving bone stock in the long term. This feature is especially important in revision surgeries where bone loss and poor quality are major concerns [16].

A key aspect of tantalum's success lies in its ability to facilitate osseointegration, a process where bone grows into the porous structure of the implant, creating a stable interface that ensures long-term fixation. Compared to other materials, tantalum's high porosity—up to 80%—mimics trabecular bone, enhancing bone ingrowth and improving implant stability. This makes tantalum particularly effective in revision surgeries, where bone regeneration is crucial. Studies suggest that osseointegration with tantalum implants occurs faster and is more robust than with traditional titanium implants [17].

Another notable advantage of tantalum in acetabular reconstruction is its resistance to infection. Given that infection is a leading cause of failure in revision THA, any material that can minimize this risk is highly valuable. Tantalum's porous structure may inhibit bacterial colonization, which is thought to reduce the incidence of postoperative infections. Although more research is required to definitively prove this benefit, preliminary data are promising, and infection resistance is a notable point in favour of tantalum over other materials [12].

Tantalum's utility is particularly evident in cases of pelvic discontinuity, where the challenge of restoring pelvic integrity is compounded by the need for a mechanically stable implant that can promote bone healing. Tantalum's adaptability, especially when used in conjunction with augments, makes it a preferred material for managing such defects. Studies have shown that tantalum components, when combined with augments, significantly improve patient outcomes in cases of severe bone loss and pelvic discontinuity. In such scenarios, tantalum implants not only restore the structural integrity of the pelvis but also encourage bone healing due to their porous architecture [18,19].

In comparison to titanium, which has long been the gold standard in THA, tantalum offers several biomechanical advantages that make it more suitable for use in patients with complex acetabular defects. For instance, tantalum has a lower elastic modulus than titanium, which means it more closely matches the mechanical properties of natural bone. This reduces the risk of stress shielding—a phenomenon where the implant takes on too much load, causing the surrounding bone to weaken over time. By reducing stress shielding, tantalum implants help preserve bone stock, which is especially important in younger patients or those undergoing revision surgery [15].

Despite its numerous benefits, the widespread adoption of tantalum in acetabular reconstruction is not without challenges. The high cost of tantalum implants is a significant barrier to their more widespread use. In healthcare systems with budget constraints, the decision to use tantalum must be weighed carefully against the potential long-term benefits it offers. While tantalum's mechanical properties and infection resistance may justify its higher cost in revision surgeries, its role in primary THA, where the clinical benefits may not be as immediately apparent, remains a subject of debate. Several studies suggest that tantalum's superior long-term performance may offset

its higher initial cost, particularly in revision surgeries where the risk of implant failure is higher. However, more research is needed to fully assess the cost-effectiveness of tantalum, particularly in comparison to other materials like titanium [20].

### Conclusion.

Tantalum acetabular components have demonstrated exceptional performance in managing acetabular deficiencies, particularly in revision THA where patients present with severe bone loss or pelvic discontinuity. Tantalum's unique combination of biomechanical properties, including its high porosity, biocompatibility, and ability to promote osseointegration, make it an ideal material for use in complex cases. Clinical studies have shown that tantalum provides superior long-term stability, higher survivorship rates, and potential resistance to infection compared to traditional materials like titanium.

However, the high cost of tantalum implants remains a significant barrier to their widespread use. While tantalum offers clear benefits in revision surgeries, where the risk of implant failure is higher, its role in primary THA is still being explored. The limited long-term data on tantalum in primary procedures raises questions about its broader application in younger, more active patients who may require revision surgery later in life. As more data become available, tantalum's cost-effectiveness and its role in primary THA will need to be carefully evaluated.

In conclusion, tantalum remains a valuable option in acetabular reconstruction, particularly in revision THA where its benefits clearly outweigh its higher cost. Future research should focus on expanding the long-term data available on tantalum in primary THA and further exploring its potential to reduce the incidence of postoperative infections. Additionally, efforts should be made to reduce the cost of tantalum implants to make this highly effective material more accessible to a broader range of patients.

### REFERENCES

1. Hsu C. H, Hu C. C, Chang C. H, et al. Acetabular Revision Surgery with Tantalum Trabecular Metal Acetabular Cup for Failed Acetabular Cage Reconstruction with Bone Allografts: A Retrospective Study with Mid- to Long-Term Follow-Up. *Journal of clinical medicine*. 2022;11:3428.
2. Theil C, Schmidt-Braekling T, Gosheger G, et al. A single centre study of 41 cases on the use of porous tantalum metal implants in acetabular revision surgery. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disord*. 2019;20:238.
3. Villanueva M, Rios-Luna A, Pereiro De Lamo J, et al. A review of the treatment of pelvic discontinuity. *HSS journal : the musculoskeletal journal of Hospital for Special Surgery*. 2008;4:128-137.
4. Hefni E.K, Bencharit S, Kim S.J, et al. Transcriptomic profiling of tantalum metal implant osseointegration in osteopenic patients. *BDJ Open*. 2018;4:17042.
5. Bawale R, Choudhry B, Samsani S. Mid-term outcomes of tantalum cup- a single centre study. *Arthroplasty (London, England)*. 2021;3:42.
6. Jiao J, Hong Q, Zhang D, et al. Influence of porosity on osteogenesis, bone growth and osseointegration in trabecular tantalumscaffoldsfabricatedbyadditivemanufacturing. *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology*. 2023;11:1117954.
7. Huang G, Pan S.T, Qiu J.X. The Clinical Application of Porous Tantalum and Its New Development for Bone Tissue Engineering. *Materials (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2021;14:2647.
8. Li H, Yao Z, Zhang J, et al. The progress on physicochemical properties and biocompatibility of tantalum-based metal bone implants. *SN Appl. Sci*. 2020;2:671.
9. Carraro F, Bagno A. Tantalum as Trabecular Metal for Endosseous Implantable Applications. *Biomimetics (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2023;8:49.
10. Baecker H, Hardt S, Abdel M.P, et al. Tantalum Augments Combined with Antiprotrusion Cages for Massive Acetabular Defects in Revision Arthroplasty. *Arthroplasty today*. 2020;6:704-709.
11. Mirghaderi P, Eshraghi N, Sheikhabaee E, et al. Does Using Highly Porous Tantalum in Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty Reduce the Rate of Periprosthetic Joint Infection? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Arthroplasty today*. 2024;25:101293.
12. Tokarski AT, Novack TA, Parvizi J. Is tantalum protective against infection in revision total hip arthroplasty? *Bone Joint J*. 2015;97-B:45-49.
13. Kong K, Zhao C, Chang Y, et al. Use of Customized 3D-Printed Titanium Augment With Tantalum Trabecular Cup for Large Acetabular Bone Defects in Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Midterm FollowUp Study. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol*. 2022;10:900905.
14. Balla V. K, Bodhak S, Bose S, et al. Porous tantalum structures for bone implants: fabrication, mechanical and in vitro biological properties. *Acta biomaterialia*. 2010;6:3349-3359.
15. Rambani R, Nayak M, Aziz M.S, et al. Tantalum Versus Titanium Acetabular Cups in Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty: Current Concept and a Review of the Current Literature. *The archives of bone and joint surgery*. 2022;10:385-394.
16. Argyropoulou E, Sakellariou E, Galanis A, et al. Porous Tantalum Acetabular Cups in Primary and Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty: What Has Been the Experience So Far?-A Systematic Literature Review. *Biomedicines*. 2024;12:959.
17. Al Deeb M, Aldosari A. A, Anil S. Osseointegration of Tantalum Trabecular Metal in Titanium Dental Implants: Histological and Micro-CT Study. *Journal of functional biomaterials*. 2023;14:355.
18. Han Q, Wang C, Chen H, et al. Porous Tantalum and Titanium in Orthopedics: A Review. *ACS Biomaterials Science & Engineering*. 2019;5:5798-5824.
19. Babis G.C, Nikolaou V.S. Pelvic discontinuity: a challenge to overcome. *EFORT open reviews*. 2021;6:459-471.
20. Lachiewicz PF, Soileau ES. Tantalum Components in Difficult Acetabular Revisions. *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*. 2010;468:454-458.